**Feed the Future indicators**

USAID provided Africa RISING with nine (9) indicators from a longer list of Fed the Future indicators (see below).

We had to provide numeric targets and the disaggregation for year 1 activities by mid August.

Implementing partners in WA and ESA in addition to saying that it was too early to report achievements, they found it very difficult to use these indicators. They were considered not appropriate for research activities. Targets that were provided were therefore not always realistic. Some partners ignored the request.

When we had to report to USAID the real achieved numbers and the disaggregation in October partners again expressed their discomfort with the indicators. There were big discrepancies between targets and actual achievements which could then be not always explained satisfactorily.

During the research framework workshop in July, Stan Wood (IFPRI) mentioned that he was working with USAID on indicators specifically for Africa RISING. At the M&E workshop in September in Addis, we also discussed indicators but did not finalize the discussion.

Therefore, in October, when targets for years 2013 and 2014 were requested by USAID we found ourselves in the same situation as before with partners not knowing how to handle the 9 indicators.

The purpose of this agenda item is to learn whether there are revised and more research related indicators available for reporting next October.

| **Order** | **RF Level** | **RF#** | **TITLE in FTF Results Framework (RF)** | **SPS Location** | **ID number under SPS location** | **Indicator Title** | **R - required/mandatory RiA - required if applicable S - Standard** | **Whole of Gov (WOG)** | **Level of Collection** | **Who collects?** | **Frequency of collection?** | **Indicator Type** | **2011/2012 Targets (if more than one data point)** | **Disaggregation** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 12 | Sub IR | 1.1 | Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased agricultural sector productivity | 4.5.2 | 5 | Number of farmers and others who have applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance | RiA | WOG | Project-level, targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | outcome | 30 | Duration: New, Continuing Sex: Male, Female |
| 13 | Sub IR | 1.1 | Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased agricultural sector productivity | 4.5.2 | 6 | Number of individuals who have received USG supported long-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training | S |  | Project-level, targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | output |  | Sex: Male, Female |
| 14 | Sub IR | 1.1 | Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased agricultural sector productivity | 4.5.2 | 7 | Number of individuals who have received USG supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training | RiA | WOG | Project-level, targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | output |  | Type of individual: Producers, People in government, People in private sector firms, People in civil society  Sex: Male, Female |
| 15 | Sub IR | 1.1 | Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased agricultural sector productivity | 4.5.2 | 11 | Number of food security private enterprises (for profit), producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) receiving USG assistance | RiA | WOG | Project-level, targeted organizations with USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | output |  | Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) Duration: New, Continuing |
| 16 | Sub IR | 1.1 | Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased agricultural sector productivity | 4.5.2 | 27 | Number of members of producer organizations and community based organizations receiving USG assistance | S |  | Project-level, targeted beneficiaries with USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | output |  | Type of organization: Producer organization, Non-producer-organization CBO Sex: Male, Female |
| 17 | Sub IR | 1.1 | Enhanced human and institutional capacity development for increased agricultural sector productivity | 4.5.2 | 28 | Number of private enterprises (for profit), producers organizations, water users associations, women's groups, trade and business associations, and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied new technologies or management practices as a result of USG assistance | RiA | WOG | Project-level, targeted organizaitons with USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | outcome |  | Type of organization (see indicator title for principal types) Duration: New, Continuing |
| 22 | Sub IR | 1.2 | Enhanced Technology Development, Dissemination, Management and Innovation | 4.5.2 | 39 | Number of new technologies or management practices in one of the following phases of development: …in Phase I: under research as a result of USG assistance …in Phase II: under field testing as a result of USG assistance …in Phase III: made available for transfer as a result of USG assistance | S |  | Project-level, targeted technologies/practices under USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | output |  |  |
| 26 | Sub IR | 1.3 | Improved Agricultural Policy Environment (increase productivity) | 4.5.1 | 24 | Numbers of Policies/Regulations/Administrative Procedures in each of the following stages of development as a result of USG assistance in each case: Stage 1: Analyzed Stage 2: Drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation Stage 3: Presented for legislation/decree Stage 4: Passed/approved Stage 5: Passed for which implementation has begun | S |  | Project-level, targeted policies under USG assistance | Implementing Partners | annually | stages 1&2: output stages 3,4, & 5: outcome |  | Sector: • Inputs (e.g. seed, fertilizer) • Outputs (e.g. rice, maize) • Macroeconomic (e.g. exchange rate) • Agricultural sector-wide (e.g. wage rate for ag labor) • Research, extension, information, and other public service • Food security/vulnerable (e.g. safety net) • Climate change adaptation or natural resource management (NRM) (ag-related) Stage 1: Analyzed  2: Drafted and presented for public/stakeholder consultation  3: Presented for legislation/decree  4: Passed/approved  5: Passed for which implementation has begun |
| 39 | IR | 3 | Increased Investment in Agriculture and Nutrition-related Activities | 4.5.2 | 12 | Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of FTF assistance | S |  | Project-level; attributable to USG investment | Implementing Partners | annually | output |  | Partnership Focus: Agriculture production, Agriculture post-harvest transformation, Nutrition, Muliti-focus, Other |